



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

07/722,101 06/27/91 LINSLEY

P 7794

ADAMS, D

18M2/0107

SHELDON AND MACK
225 SOUTH LAKE STREET, SUITE 900
PASADENA, CA 91101

1806

01/07/94

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☒ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

a) ☒ is extended to run 18 months or continues to run 18 months from the date of the final rejection

b) ☐ expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

☒ Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

☒ Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 12/16/93 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1. ☐ The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:

- a. ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
- b. ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
- c. ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
- d. ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
- e. ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE:

2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.

3. ☒ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☒ will be entered ☐ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed: N/A

Claims objected to:

Claims rejected: 1, 3, 5-15, 17-42, 47, 49, 51-57, 59-65, 67-77

However;

☒ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 P23, 25 & 31 of Final Office Action dated 7/12/93

4. ☒ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Arguments are based on speculation not facts. The suggestion that it would be unexpected is not a functional feature stated as claimed from the prior art is unsupported by fact. All arguments are

5. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.

☐ Other been considered & were as a whole found unresponsive. George C. Ellwood

Applicants: Linsley et al.
Serial No.: 722,101
Filed: June 27, 1991
Page 2

If any other fee is required in connection with the filing of this Notice of Appeal, authorization is hereby given to charge the amount of any such fee to Deposit Account No. 19-2090.

Respectfully submitted,

Sarah B. Adriano

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Spencer Soden 12/13/93
Signature Date

Sarah B. Adriano
Registration No. 34,470
Attorney for Applicants
Sheldon & Mak
225 South Lake Avenue
9th Floor
Pasadena, California 91101
(310) 312-9900 /